

UNLOCKING ALLAH'S SECRETS,
IS IT TRUE, ISLAMIC SCHOLARS,
BOUND BY THE LAWS RESULTING
FROM HUMAN THOUGHT

Ahmad Sudirman

Stockholm - SWEDEN
February, 18 2024

UNLOCKING ALLAH'S SECRETS,
IS IT TRUE, ISLAMIC SCHOLARS, BOUND BY THE LAWS
RESULTING FROM HUMAN THOUGHT
© Copyright 2024 Ahmad Sudirman*
Stockholm - SWEDEN

ABSTRACT

Before the author writes about is it true, Islamic scholars, bound by the laws resulting from human thought, the author first asks forgiveness from Allah SWT. Here the author tries to open the veil that covers the real secret about is it true, Islamic scholars, bound by the laws resulting from human thought, based on deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA).

There are several verses that reveal Allah's secret about is it true, Islamic scholars, bound by the laws resulting from human thought, namely the verses:

"O you who believe, obey Allah and obey the Messenger and ulil amri among you. Then if you have different opinions about something, then return that opinion to Allah and the Messenger, if you truly believe in Allah and the Last Day. Yang that way is more important and the consequences are better (An Nisaa': 4: 59)

"And those who accept the call of their God and perform prayer, and their interests are deliberation between them and they spend part of the sustenance that We have given them. (Ash Syuura: 42: 38)

"And if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed, and do not follow their desires. And be careful towards them, so that they do not turn you away from part of what Allah has revealed to you. If they turn away, then know that that indeed Allah wills to bring misfortune upon them because of some of their sins. And indeed, most people are wicked people. (Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)

"Indeed, Allah commands you to convey your message to those who are entitled to receive it, and when you determine a law between people, so that you determine it fairly. Indeed, Allah gives you the best teaching. Indeed, Allah is All-Hearing, All-Seeing. (An Nisaa': 4 : 58)

In an effort to uncover the veil of Allah's secret regarding is it true, Islamic scholars, bound by the laws resulting from human thought, the author uses the basis of deoxyribonucleic acid.

HYPOTHESES

Here the author forward hypothesis is it true, Islamic scholars, bound by the laws resulting from human thought, based on Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)

DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID (DNA)

DNA is a storage place for genetic information that has a double structure forms a double helix and contains structured polynucleotide macromolecules repeatedly from nucleotide polymers. This nucleotide consists of folate, a 5 carbon sugar and one of the nitrogen bases. Nitrogen bases are Guanine (G), Adenine(A), Cytocine(C) and Thymine (T).

Guanine (G) consists of 5 carbon atoms, 5 nitrogen atoms, 1 oxygen atom and 5 hydrogen atoms. Adenine (A) has 5 carbon atoms, 5 nitrogen atoms and 5 hydrogen atoms. Cytocine (C) contains 4

carbon atoms, 3 nitrogen atoms, 1 oxygen atom and 5 hydrogen atoms. Thymine (T) contains 5 carbon atoms, 2 nitrogen atoms, 2 oxygen atoms and 6 hydrogen atoms. Folate contains 1 phosphorus atom, 4 oxygen atoms and 2 hydrogen atoms. 5 carbon sugar has 5 carbon atoms, 2 oxygen atoms and 8 hydrogen atoms.

Based on human deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), it consists of 32.20% carbon atoms, 25.43% nitrogen atoms, 6.78% oxygen atoms and 35.59% hydrogen atoms.

IS IT TRUE, ISLAMIC SCHOLARS, BOUND BY THE LAWS RESULTING FROM HUMAN THOUGHT

So now, we still continue to focus our minds on uncovering the secret hidden behind the verses: *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)" ...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa' : 4: 59)*

Well, here, Allah declares *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)*

Well, now, the question arises,

Why, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal do not care about the first Islamic State founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD)?

The answer is in the secret behind the verse: *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa' : 4: 59)*

Well, it turns out that the legal basis *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)* is not connected with the first Islamic State founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD) by Abu Hanifah , Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal.

Well, a few more questions arise,

Why during the time of Abu Hanifah, who ruled the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD), Abu Hanifah, never discussed whether the Umayyad Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 M), or not?

Why during the time of Malik bin Anas, who ruled the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD), Malik bin Anas, never discussed whether the Umayyad Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), or not?

Why was it that during the time of Abu Abdullah Muhammad bin Idris al Syafi'i al Muththalibi al Quraissy or known as Imam Syafi'i, who ruled the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 H-218 H, 750 AD-833 AD), Imam Syafi'i never talked about , did the First Abbasid Dynasty follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), or not?

Why was it that during the time of Ahmad bin Hambal, who ruled the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 H-218 H, 750 AD-833 AD), Ahmad bin Hambal never discussed whether the First Abbassiyah Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 H (622 AD), or not?

Well, apparently, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the issue of the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad saw regarding the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad SAW in Medina in 1 H (622 AD) to be the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad

saw which does not need to be implemented and is considered unimportant for the lives of Muslim communities throughout the world.

So, in fact, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the basis of law *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger and ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)"* not has something to do with the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 (622 AD)

Or in other words, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the basis of law *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger and ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)"* can be implemented in any form of state, and led by the government, anyone, what is important, people labeled as Muslims.

Well, this was proven, when, the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD-833 AD) did not follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad. peace be upon him in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), considered by Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, to be unimportant. What is important is simply to carry out *"...obey...ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)"*, namely *"...ulil amri...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)"* from the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and from the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD-833 AD).

Although *"...ulil amri...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)"* from the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and from the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD -833 AD) does not follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD).

Well, for this reason, Muslims all over the world feel happy and happy living in a country whose laws do not refer to *"...laws...what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)"*

Muslims all over the world consider that the command *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)"* is enough to be studied at universities, it does not need to be implemented in an Islamic country , which follows the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD).

Or it could also be said, Islamic scholars are bound by laws resulting from human thought, such as Islamic scholars in secular countries Egypt, Syria, Iraq, Turkey, Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Indonesia, Malaysia, Brunei, Somalia, Ethiopia, Jordan, Yemen, Oman, Bahrain, Qatar, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Libya, Sudan, Chad, Nigeria, Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia and Palestine.

CONCLUSION

Based on the description above we can conclude that the secret hidden behind the verses: *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)"* *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa' : 4: 59)"*

Well, here, Allah declares *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)"*

Well, now, the question arises,

Why, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal do not care about the first Islamic State founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD)?

The answer is in the secret behind the verse: *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa' : 4: 59)"*

Well, it turns out that the legal basis *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)* is not connected with the first Islamic State founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD) by Abu Hanifah , Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal.

Well, a few more questions arise,

Why during the time of Abu Hanifah, who ruled the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD), Abu Hanifah, never discussed whether the Umayyad Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 M), or not?

Why during the time of Malik bin Anas, who ruled the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD), Malik bin Anas, never discussed whether the Umayyad Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), or not?

Why was it that during the time of Abu Abdullah Muhammad bin Idris al Syafi'i al Muththalibi al Quraisy or known as Imam Syafi'i, who ruled the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 H-218 H, 750 AD-833 AD), Imam Syafi'i never talked about , did the First Abbasid Dynasty follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), or not?

Why was it that during the time of Ahmad bin Hambal, who ruled the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 H-218 H, 750 AD-833 AD), Ahmad bin Hambal never discussed whether the First Abbassiyah Dynasty followed the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 H (622 AD), or not?

Well, apparently, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the issue of the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad saw regarding the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad SAW in Medina in 1 H (622 AD) to be the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad saw which does not need to be implemented and is considered unimportant for the lives of Muslim communities throughout the world.

So, in fact, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the basis of law *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger and ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)* not has something to do with the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 (622 AD)

Or in other words, Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, consider the basis of law *"...obey Allah and obey the Messenger and ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)* can be implemented in any form of state, and led by the government, anyone, what is important, people labeled as Muslims.

Well, this was proven, when, the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD-833 AD) did not follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad. peace be upon him in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD), considered by Abu Hanifah, Malik bin Anas, Syafi'i and Ahmad bin Hambal, to be unimportant. What is important is simply to carry out *"...obey...ulil amri among you...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)*, namely *"...ulil amri...(An Nisaa': 4: 59)* from the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and from the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD-833 AD).

Although *"...ulil amri...(An Nisaa' : 4: 59)* from the Umayyad Dynasty (40 AH-132 AH, 661 AD-750 AD) and from the First Abbasid Dynasty (132 AH-218 AH, 750 AD -833 AD) does not follow the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD).

Well, for this reason, Muslims all over the world feel happy and happy living in a country whose laws do not refer to *"...laws...what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)*

Muslims all over the world consider that the command *"...if you punish the deeds of them, with what Allah has revealed...(Al Maa'idah: 5: 49)* is enough to be studied at universities, it does not need to be implemented in an Islamic country, which follows the first Islamic state founded by the Prophet Muhammad saw in Medina in 1 AH (622 AD).

Or it could also be said, Islamic scholars are bound by laws resulting from human thought, such as Islamic scholars in secular countries Egypt, Syria, Iraq, Turkey, Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Indonesia, Malaysia, Brunei, Somalia, Ethiopia, Jordan, Yemen, Oman, Bahrain, Qatar, United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Libya, Sudan, Chad, Nigeria, Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia and Palestine.

*Ahmad Sudirman

Candidate of Philosophy degree in Psychology

Candidate of Philosophy degree in Education

Candidate of Philosophy degree in vocational education in The Industrial Programme,
Engineering Mechanics

ahmad@ahmadsudirman.se

www.ahmadsudirman.se